A. GWE Architectural Committee Site Plan Review ¹	Applicant: Lot:				
(any "NO" answer requires explanation)	Date Receiv Project:	/ed:		_	_
Site Planning Considerations (Covenant requirements bold)	1 10,000	N/A	Yes	No	Info
SITING:					Req
 30' setback from lot boundary or street rig No impacts on privacy, seclusion, views fo Location of well and septic system app Well not closer to 600' from any other v 	or adjoining lots? propriate?		(H		=
GRADING:					
 Site grading to be minimized? Native revegetations planned? Landscaping planned within 6 months 	of completion?	_		=	
DRAINAGE:					
 Unchanged location and historic amounts Sediment and erosion controls unnecessa 		e?			_
DRIVEWAYS AND PARKING:					
 Driveway paved or properly surfaced? Driveway minimum 10' wide? Driveway grade <8%? Only 1 driveway access per lot? Driveway intersection at or close to 90 degrees? Culvert to be in place during construction and thereafter? Driveway minimizes disturbance to vegetation and groundcover? Off-street parking for at least four vehicles (includes garage)? 					
UTILITIES:					
 All utility services underground? Utilities run in lot line easements when properties. Utility surface structures screened (structure) 			=		
ANTENNAE/SOLAR PANELS:					
1. Antennae, dishes, except <18" dishes),, solar (screened, below height of residence.	-	?			

¹ Last Revised: 6/98 (PCD)

A. GWE Architectural Committee	Applicant:	
Site Plan Review	seriuper a Lot:s 'Off yes)	
(any "NO" answer requires explanation)	Date Received:	

WALLS AND SERVICE MEEAS

2. Ancillary walls provide visual extension of residence (symmetr)?

Descriptions of Architectural Committee concerns that resulted in unapproved elements.

B. GWE Architectural Committee	Applicant:			
Building Plan Review ¹	Lot: Date Received:			
(any "NO" answer requires explanation)	Project:			_
Building Design Considerations (Covenant requirements bold)	Descriptions of Architec		M	
	N/A	Yes	No	Info. Reg
CHARACTER:				Neq
 Architecture consistent with western/mout Wall are logs, wood or stone? Roof is approved tile, wood shake shing The roof color (describe:) is Building shapes/features are harmonious Facades viewed from roads & GWVRP 	le, finished metal?s approved?s?			
SIZE:				
 Residence contains minimum of 800 s Height of residence < 35' (from lowest grad 				
FINISH:				
 No highly reflective finishes (except windows) The exterior surface finish(describe: Exterior trim accent colors(describe:) approved?	_		
PROJECTIONS:				
1. Projections (e.g. chimneys, vents, gutters, porches, e	tc.) match?			
WALLS AND SERVICE AREAS:				
 Ancillary walls or screens are wood or s Ancillary walls provide visual extension 				
FOUNDATIONS:				
Exposed Foundations minimized? Color(describe:) of expose	ed foundation approved?			

¹ Last Revised: 6/98 (PCD)

B. GWE Architectural Committee	tural Commi
Building Plan Review	esign Review
(any "NO" answer requires explanation)	riciona amiupor n

Applicant:	
noise Lot: separate	
Date Received:	
Project:	

Descriptions of Architectural Committee concerns that resulted in unapproved elements.

C. GWE Architectural Committee Landscape Design Review ¹	Applicant: Lot:			
(any "NO" answer requires explanation)	Date Received:_ Project:			_
Landscape Planning Considerations				
(Covenant requirements bold)	N/A	Yes	No	Info
PROTECTION OF EXISTING LANDSCAPE F	EATURES:			Req
Removal of trees, rock outcroppings, large or other existing significant landscape feat				
FENCES:				
 Wall and fences reflect a consistent theme to materials, color and finish? No perimeter or barbed wire fencing? 	with regards			
OUTBUILDINGS:				
Design, colors, materials, and finish consist complimentary to the design of the residence.				
OUTSIDE LIGHTING:				
Light sources concealed and directed awa neighboring views?	y from			
2. Wood standards for lights on poles and les3. No exposed street-light type pole lighting?	ss than 8'?			

¹ Last Revised: 6/98 (PCD)

C.	GWE Architectural Committee	
	Landscape Design Review	
	(any "NO" answer requires explanation)	

Applicant:	
Lot:	
Date Received:	

Descriptions of Architectural Committee concerns that resulted in unapproved elements.